This record relates to Agenda Item 46

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACH TO

PARENTING

AUTHOR: LYDIE LAWRENCE

THE DECISION

RESOLVED – (1) That the Committee approve a joint public health and children's services approach to the promotion of positive parenting. This will consist of:

- engagement with key partners and stakeholders;
- a "Big Debate" that will help the local authority and partner agencies to ascertain the public's views on impact of parenting in the community and support needed for parents;
- a media parenting information campaign that will aim to get key messages and information about services to parents and professionals; and
- (2) The Committee approve the development of an implementation strategy for a whole population public health approach to parenting, informed by the consultation process above. This would mean aiming to reach 60% of parents over a two year period, giving brief information and advice progressing to intensive support depending on need. It is estimated that about 10% of the population will benefit from intense services (e.g., an 8 week group).

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To expand parenting services to include information, advice and early help and to develop a Parenting Information Strategy.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

An alternative option to taking a public health whole population, progressively targeted approach to parenting provision is to take a purely targeted approach. That approach would mean that only identified families with highest need access parenting services. Whilst this has the advantage of only targeting resources at known greatest need, it has the following disadvantages:

Arguably further stigmatizing parenting help, making it less attractive to families that professionals most want to engage;

Creates time consuming and resource heavy barriers for families who are experiencing problems and ant to access a service (i.e., referral and assessment processes);

Restricts preventative and early help.

Proper Officer:

Date: 15 January 2013 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

(i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,

(ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 47

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: CHILDREN'S SERVICES FEES AND

CHARGES 2013/14

AUTHOR: PAUL BRINKHURST

THE DECISION

RESOLVED – (1) That the position on fees and charges for nurseries in section 3.3 of the report be agreed;

- (2) That the position on fees and charges for the Music and Arts Service as detailed in section 3.4 and Appendix 1 to the report be noted;
- (3) That the position on the charges for school meals as detailed in section3.5 be noted;
- (4) That the position on fees charged by the Portslade Aldridge Community Academy Adult Learning in section 6 of the report be noted; and
- (5) That the position on fees charged by the Portslade Sports Centre in section 3.7 and Appendix 2 to the report be noted.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To agree and or note the Children's Services Fees and Charges for 2013/14.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Not applicable.

Proper Officer:

Date: 15 January 2013 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (iii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (iv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 48

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: SHORT QUALITY SCREEN OF YOUTH

OFFENDING SERVICE

AUTHOR: ANDY WHIPPEY

THE DECISION

RESOLVED - That the Committee note the contents of the Youth Offending Service Inspection Report and note the Action Plan identified as a consequence of this inspection.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The local authority must approve the action plan in response to the Short Quality Screening.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

No other options have been presented to date.

Proper Officer:

Date: 15 January 2013 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (v) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (vi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 49

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: FAMILY GROUP CONFERENCE

REVIEW PROPOSALS

AUTHOR: ROSALIND TURNER

THE DECISION

REASON FOR THE DECISION

To maintain children and young people at home in their families and communities wherever this is safe and in the best interests of the child or young person, utilizing the resources and support of local families and communities through ensuring best value provision of family group conferences in the city, over the next two years, either through the transfer of the service in house, or through a retendering exercise, possibly incorporating additional requirements from other services across the council.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Proper Officer:

Date: 11 January 2013 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 50

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT: OPTIONS FOR PROVIDING

ADDITIONAL SCHOOL PLACES IN 2013

AND 2014

AUTHOR: GILLIAN CHURCHILL

THE DECISION

RESOLVED – (1) That the Children and Young People Committee endorses the preferred option of expanding Aldrington Church of England Primary School by one form of entry from September 2013;

- (2) That the Children and Young People Committee agree to the publication of the required statutory notice to progress this proposal;
- (3) That the Children and Young People Committee recognises the concerns of the board of governors of Stanford Community Infant School as expressed in their response to the consultation and the council commits to working with them to address these concerns;
- (4) That he Children and Young People Committee does not agree the expansion of Stanford Infant School unless/until the Ministry of Defence agrees to release/sell an appropriate amount of adjacent land to allow adequate outdoor space for children attending that school and the satisfactory resolution of the other concerns referred to in (3) above.

REASON FOR THE DECISION

Current and projected pupil numbers for the city as a whole show there is an immediate and ongoing need for additional school places in the city as a whole. This need is most acute in the west of the city.

To meet the projected future growth in pupil numbers we need to provide additional forms of entry for primary age children.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The paper presented to the Children and Young People Committee on 15 October 2012 presented the full range of options available to address the need for future. These were the preferred options for addressing the immediate need.

Proper Officer:

Date: 15 January 2013 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

- (vii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (viii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

This record relates to Agenda Item 51

RECORD OF CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE COMMITTEE

COUNCIL DECISION

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF THE SECONDARY

ADMISSIONS PROCESS FOR 2014/15

AUTHOR: GIL SWEETENHAM

THE DECISION

RESOLVED – That the Committee notes the summary details of the Review into the Secondary School Admissions process for Brighton & Hove and its particular focus on the delineation of catchment areas;

- (2) That the Committee agrees that no changes will be made to the existing Secondary Catchment areas for the academic year 2014/15;
- (3) That the Committee agrees that the cross party working group will continue to meet on a termly basis to monitor the impact of national the and local changes related to Secondary Admissions. This will include the creation of new academies or free schools;
- (4) That the Committee agrees that the cross party working group will reform its stakeholder group as and when needed to consider proposals for any change beyond 2014/15;
- (5) That the Committee notes that the Admissions Team will review its annual publications in the light of concerns over the "equal preference" system an the continuing misundertstanding regarding parental "choice".

REASON FOR THE DECISION

The present Secondary School Admissions system was agreed at the Full Council meeting held on 27 February 2007. At that meeting it was agreed that as recommended by the Working Group, a review of the whole secondary schools admissions system in 2012 be approved.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The cross party working group and the stakeholder group considered the introduction of King's Church of England School, a Secondary Christian Freeschool, and its likely impact on projected pupil numbers and existing catchment areas. This was seen as the biggest unknown and in the absence of trend data for King's Church of England School intake was seen as a key concern in the immediate future.

(2) Having looked in detail at this array of information and having set these against the potential impact of a range of catchment area changes and the introduction of King's Church of England School, both groups recommended no change 2014/15.

Proper Officer:

Date: 15 January 2013 Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed:

CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

(ix) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,

(x) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.